Guidelines for bringing Price Decontrolled Drugs under Price Control.

110, SHRI DAYANAND SAHAY: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 3473 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 28th March, 1988 and state;

(a) what are the reasons for not formulating guidelines and deciding criteria to bring a particular drug under price control from decontrol category;

(b) whether it is a fact that are bitrary decision leads to corruption;

(c) whether it is a fact that power to issue guidelines and directions have been given under Para 28 of DPCO, 1987 to implement the provision or empower his Ministry to bring any price decontrol drug under price control; and

(d) if so, what are the- reasons for not issuing guidelines and keeping discretion and sweet will with the Ministry?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a), (c) and (d) The Drugs (Prices Control) Order, 1987 is self-contained order. It is considered not necessary to Issue any more guidelines.

(b) No arbitrary decision has been taken.

Mark up on Vitamin preparations

'111, SHRI DAYANAND, SAHAY: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that due to complaints and pressure from the public" and consumers his Ministry took a decision under DPCO 1979 to allow 60 per cent mark up on vitamin prepartions;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the prices of vitamin preparations were not fixed for a number Of years under DPCO 1979;

(c) whether it is also a fact that a commitment was made in the Par liament after complaint of overcharg ing of prices of vitamin prepartions to fix the price of these preparations on 60 per cent mark up;

(d) whether it is a fact that his Ministry has increased the mark up from 60 per cent to 100 per cent on certain prepartions and decontrolled the other preparations from price control as well as the bulk vitamins;

(e) whether it is also a fact that there is no decline in the production of important bulk vitamins such as vitamin A, B12, Vitamin C, Vitamin D3 and Vitamin E under DPCO, 1979 rather there is an increase in production of these bulk vitamins; and

(f) if so, what is the justification of such a decision?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY ' (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO); (a) and (c) Mark up was uniformly allowed at the rate of 60 percent *to* all non-leader Category III formulations under DPCO, 1979.

(b) Prices of vitamin preparations were fixed from time to time under DPCO, 1979.

(d) All single ingredient vitamin formulations as well as vitamins bulk drugs are exempt from price control under DPCO, 1987. Combinations containing vitamins are price con trolled and are eligible for 100% MAPE like all other Category II for mulations.

(e) and (f) The Kelkar Com mittee had recommended that all vitamins only when used in combina tions in formulations should come under Category H of price control. Keeping the recommendation in view, all vitamins as bulk drugs have been exempted' from price control.

price of Tetanus Antitoxin

112. SHRI DAYANAND SAHAY: Will be Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to

Unstarred Question 3467 given in the Rajya Sabha On the 28th March, 1988 and state:

(a) what was the price of different strengths of Tetanus Antitoxin for which the companies increased , the prices after the announcement of DPCO, 1987;

(b) what was the earlier price, the increased price, the reduction in price after the intervention of his Ministry along with the present price and the percentage in each strength "of Tetanus Antitoxin.

(c) Whether it is also a fact that both his Ministry and Kelkar Committee have received any complaints about such high increases from consumer associations and Members of Parliament; and

(d) if so, what are the details of each and action taken thereon?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO) (a) and (b) The required details to the extent available are as follows:

Sl. No.	Strength of ATS	Price fixed by the Company after increasing it (Rs.).	Reduced price after Govt. intervention (Rs.)	%change
1	ATS 750 IU	7.26	5.40	—25.6
2	ATS -do-	341.90	254.54	—25.6
3	ATS 1500 IU	12.42	9.24	—25.6
4	ATS -do-	600.50	452.72	—24.6
5	ATS 10,000 IU	65.26	48.54	—25.6
6	ATS 20,000 IU	137.60	94.95	—25.6
7	ATS 50,000 IU	312.74	232.68	—25.6

(c) and (d) To the extent information available no representation has been received specifically.

Defaulting- Multinational Drug Companies

113. SHRI DAYANAND SAHAY: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 2721 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 21st March, 1988 and state;

(a)' the names of the defaulting multinational drug companies in whose favour notices have been issued for recovery of unintended benefits along with the date of each notice;

(b) whether it is a fact that certain multinational drug companies have indicated the amount to be paid to the Government in their annual balance sheet;

(c) if so, what are the names of such companies and amount indicated by each;

(d) whether it is a fact that the recovery action is very slow; and

(e) if so, by when his Ministry will be able, to recoyer the total amount due from each upto August, 1987 and what plans have been made for early recovery?